

# STA457 Notes

Ian Zhang

## 1 Characteristics of Time Series

**Definition** (Time series). A time series is a set of observations  $x_t$ , each recorded at time  $t$ .

If the set of times  $T_0$  is discrete, then the time series is discrete.

**Definition** (White noise). White noise is a time series  $\{w_t\}$  where the  $w_t$  are uncorrelated and  $E(w_t) = 0$ ,  $\text{Var}(w_t) = \sigma_w^2 < \infty$ . We denote white noise by  $w_t \sim wn(0, \sigma_w^2)$ .

Some examples of time series are

- Moving average: used to “smooth” a series

$$v_t = \frac{1}{3}(w_{t-1} + w_t + w_{t+1})$$

is a moving average of order 3

- Autoregressive model: the output variable of an autoregressive model depends on past values of the series, for example

$$x_t = x_{t-1} = 0.9x_{t-2} + w_t$$

where  $w_t$  is white noise

- Random walk with drift:

$$x_t = \delta + x_{t-1} + w_t$$

with initial condition  $x_0 = 0$ ,  $\delta$  is the drift,  $w_t \sim wn(0, \sigma_w^2)$ .

**Definition** (Autocovariance function). The autocovariance function of a time series  $x_t$  is defined as

$$\gamma_x(s, t) = \text{Cov}(x_s, x_t)$$

If  $U = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i$  and  $V = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j Y_j$ , then

$$\text{Cov}(U, V) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_i b_j \text{Cov}(X_i, Y_j)$$

**Definition** (Autocorrelation function (ACF)). The ACF of a time series  $x_t$  is

$$\rho_x(s, t) = \frac{\gamma_x(s, t)}{\sqrt{\gamma_x(s, s)\gamma_x(t, t)}}$$

We can also look at the covariance and correlation functions of two time series  $x_t$  and  $y_t$ .

**Definition** (Cross-covariance function). The cross-covariance function of  $x_t$  and  $y_t$  is

$$\gamma_{xy}(s, t) = \text{Cov}(x_s, y_t)$$

**Definition** (Cross-correlation function (CCF)). The CCF of  $x_t$  and  $y_t$  is

$$\rho_{xy}(s, t) = \frac{\gamma_{xy}(s, t)}{\sqrt{\gamma_x(s, s)\gamma_y(t, t)}}$$

## 1.1 Stationary Time Series

**Definition** (Weakly stationary time series). A time series  $w_t$  is weakly stationary if the following hold:

- (i) the mean function,  $\mu_t$ , is constant and independent of  $t$
- (ii) the autocovariance function,  $\gamma_x(s, t)$ , depends on  $s$  and  $t$  only through their absolute difference  $|s - t|$

We let *stationary* mean *weakly stationary*.

For autocovariance function, we can let  $s = t + h$ , so  $\gamma_x(s, t) = \gamma_x(h)$ . If  $x_t$  is stationary, then  $\gamma_x(h) = \phi(|h|)$  where  $\phi$  is some function of  $|h|$ . In this form, the ACF of a stationary time series is

$$\rho(h) = \frac{\gamma(h)}{\gamma(0)}$$

### 1.1.1 Jointly Stationary Time Series

**Definition** (Jointly stationary). Two time series  $x_t$  and  $y_t$  are jointly stationary if  $x_t$  and  $y_t$  are each respectively stationary and the cross-covariance function  $\gamma_{xy}(h) = \text{Cov}(x_{t+h}, y_t)$  is a function only of lag  $h$ .

**Definition** (CCF of jointly stationary). The CCF of jointly stationary time series  $x_t$  and  $y_t$  is

$$\rho_{xy}(h) = \frac{\gamma_{xy}(h)}{\sqrt{\gamma_x(0)\gamma_y(0)}}$$

## 1.2 Estimation of Correlation

If a time series is stationary, then its mean is a constant  $\mu$ , thus we can estimate it by the sample mean

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t$$

The variance of sample mean is

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(\bar{x}) &= \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \text{Cov}\left(\sum_{t=1}^n x_t, \sum_{s=1}^n x_s\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{h=-n}^n \left(1 - \frac{|h|}{n}\right) \gamma_x(h)\end{aligned}$$

The sample autocovariance is

$$\hat{\gamma}_x(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-h} (x_{t+h} - \bar{x})(x_t - \bar{x})$$

with  $\hat{\gamma}_x(h) = \hat{\gamma}_x(-h)$  for  $h = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . The sample ACF is thus

$$\hat{\rho}(h) = \frac{\hat{\gamma}(h)}{\hat{\gamma}(0)}$$

## 2 Time Series Regression

Consider the MLR model

$$x_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 z_{t1} + \dots + \beta_q z_{tq} + w_t$$

where  $w_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_w^2)$  for  $t = 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $z_t = (1, z_{t1}, \dots, z_{tq})^T$ ,  $\beta = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q)^T$ , thus we can rewrite the model as

$$x_t = \beta^T z_t + w_t$$

### 2.1 Ordinary Least Squares Estimation

OLS estimation finds  $\hat{\beta}$  that minimizes

$$Q = \sum_{t=1}^n w_t^2 = \sum_{t=1}^n (x_t - \beta^T z_t)^2$$

If the matrix  $\sum_{t=1}^n z_t z_t^T$  is non-singular, then the LSE of  $\beta$  is

$$\hat{\beta} = \left( \sum_{t=1}^n z_t z_t^T \right)^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n z_t x_t$$

Some properties of  $\hat{\beta}$  are

- $E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta$
- $\hat{\beta} \sim \mathcal{N}_p(\beta, \sigma_w^2 C)$  where  $p = q + 1$ ,  $C = \left( \sum_{t=1}^n z_t z_t^T \right)^{-1}$

If  $\hat{\sigma}_w^2$  is unknown, we can estimate using

$$s_w^2 = MSE = \frac{SSE}{n-p}$$

where

$$SSE = \sum_{t=0}^n (x_t - \hat{\beta}^T z_t)^2$$

There are also a few tests we can consider. If we want to test each  $\beta_i$  individually in  $H_0 : \beta_i = 0$ , then consider the test statistic

$$t = \frac{\hat{\beta}_i - \beta_i}{s_w \sqrt{c_{ii}}} \sim t_{n-p}$$

where  $c_{ii}$  is the  $i$ th element on the diagonal of  $C$ .

If we want to test whether only a subset of  $r < q$  independent variables,  $z_{t,1:r} = \{z_{t1}, \dots, z_{tr}\}$  is influencing  $x_t$  (i.e.:  $\beta_{r+1}, \dots, \beta_q = 0$ ), we use the test statistic

$$F = \frac{(SSE_r - SSE)/(q-r)}{SSE/(n-p)} = \frac{SSR/(q-r)}{SSE/(n-p)} = \frac{MSR}{MSE} \sim F_{n-p}^{q-r}$$

We reject at level  $\alpha$  if  $F_c > F_{n-p}^{q-r}(\alpha)$  where  $F_c$  is the value of test statistic under  $H_0$ .

Suppose we have a model with  $k$  coefficients (smaller model). Then, the maximum likelihood estimator for  $\sigma_k^2$  is

$$\hat{\sigma}_k^2 = \frac{SSE(k)}{n}$$

where  $SSE(K)$  is the  $SSE$  under the smaller model. Define Akaike's Information Criteria ( $AIC$ ) by

$$AIC = \log(\hat{\sigma}_k^2) + \frac{n+2k}{n}$$

The value of  $k$  yielding the smallest  $AIC$  indicates the best model.

### 3 Exploratory Data Analysis

**Definition.** The trend stationary model can be written as

$$x_t = \mu_t + y_t$$

where  $\mu_t$  is the observed trend and  $y_t$  is a stationary process.

Denote the first difference by

$$\nabla x_t = x_t - x_{t-1}$$

**Definition** (Backshift operator). The backshift operator is

$$Bx_t = x_{t-1}$$

We can extend this definition to powers: since  $Bx_{t-1} = x_{t-2}$  but  $x_{t-1} = Bx_t$ , then we have

$B^2x_t = x_{t-2}$ . By induction, we have  $B^kx_t = x_{t-k}$ .

**Definition** (Differences). Differences of order  $d$  are

$$\nabla^d = (1 - B)^d$$

## 4 ARIMA Models

### 4.1 AR( $p$ ) Models

**Definition** (AR( $p$ ) model). An autoregressive model of order  $p$ , denoted AR( $p$ ), is of the form

$$x_t = \phi_1x_{t-1} + \phi_2x_{t-2} + \cdots + \phi_px_{t-p} + w_t$$

where  $x_t$  is stationary,  $w_t \sim wn(0, \sigma_w^2)$ ,  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_p$  are constants with  $\phi_p \neq 0$ .

If the mean  $\mu$  of  $x_t$  is non-zero, then we can replace  $x_t$  with  $x_t - \mu$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} x_t - \mu &= \phi_1(x_{t-1} - \mu) + \cdots + \phi_p(x_{t-p} - \mu) + w_t \\ &\iff x_t = \alpha + \phi_1x_{t-1} + \cdots + \phi_px_{t-p} + w_t \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha = \mu(1 - \phi_1 - \cdots - \phi_p)$ . Using the backshift operator,

$$(1 - \phi_1B - \phi_2B^2 - \cdots - \phi_pB^p)x_t = w_t \implies \phi(B)x_t = w_t$$

where  $\phi_B = (1 - \phi_1B - \phi_2B^2 - \cdots - \phi_pB^p)$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $x_t = \phi x_{t-1} + w_t$  be an AR(1) process where  $|\phi| < 1$  and  $\sup_t \text{Var}(x_t) < \infty$ . Then

- $x_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j w_{t-j}$ . That is,  $x_t$  is a linear process

- The autocovariance function  $\gamma(h)$  is

$$\gamma(h) = \frac{\sigma_w^2 \phi^h}{1 - \phi^2}$$

- The autocorrelation function is

$$\rho(h) = \phi^h$$

*Proof.* To show  $x_t$  is a linear process, by recursion we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_t &= \phi x_{t-1} + w_t \\ &= \phi(\phi x_{t-2} + w_{t-1}) + w_t \\ &= \cdots \\ &= \phi^k x_{t-k} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \phi^j w_{t-j} \end{aligned}$$

and so on.

For the autocovariance,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma(h) &= \text{Cov}(x_{t+h}, x_t) \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^i \phi^j \text{Cov}(w_{t+h-i}, w_{t-j}) \\
 &= \sigma_w^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^{j+h} \phi^j \\
 &= \sigma_w^2 \phi^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^{2j} \\
 &= \frac{\sigma_w^2 \phi^h}{1 - \phi^2} \qquad \text{since } |\phi| < 1
 \end{aligned}$$

For the ACF, since  $x_t$  is stationary and  $\sup_t \text{Var}(x_t) < \infty$ , then

$$\rho(h) = \frac{\gamma(h)}{\gamma(0)} = \frac{\frac{\sigma_w^2 \phi^h}{1 - \phi^2}}{\frac{\sigma_w^2}{1 - \phi^2}} = \phi^h$$

as required. ■

#### 4.1.1 Explosive Models and Causality

Consider the simple walk  $x_t = x_{t-1} + w_t$ ,  $w_t \sim wn(0, \sigma_w^2)$ . Since

$$\gamma(s, t) = \min\{s, t\} \sigma_w^2$$

$x_t$  is not stationary.

An AR(1) process  $x_t = \phi x_{t-1} + w_t$  with  $|\phi| > 1$  is *explosive* since its values will increase quickly. Since  $|\phi|^{-1} < 1$ , this suggests the stationary future dependent AR(1) model

$$x_t = - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi^{-j} w_{t+j}$$

## 4.2 MA( $q$ ) Models

**Definition** (MA( $q$ ) models). The moving average model of order  $q$ , MA( $q$ ), is

$$x_t = w_t + \theta_1 w_{t-1} + \theta_2 w_{t-2} + \cdots + \theta_q w_{t-q}$$

where  $w_t \sim wn(0, \sigma_w^2)$ ,  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_q$  are constants where  $\theta_q \neq 0$ .

We can rewrite  $x_t = \theta(B)w_t$  where  $\theta(B) = 1 + \theta_1 B + \theta_2 B^2 + \cdots + \theta_q B^q$  is the moving average operator.

### 4.2.1 Invertibility

If  $|\theta| < 1$ , then MA(1) as  $w_t = -\theta w_{t-1}$  can be written as

$$w_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-\theta)^j x_{t-j}$$

### 4.3 ARMA( $p, q$ ) models

**Definition.** A time series  $\{x_t : t = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$  is ARMA( $p, q$ ) if it's stationary and

$$x_t = \phi_1 x_{t-1} + \dots + \phi_p x_{t-p} + w_t + \theta_1 w_{t-1} + \dots + \theta_q w_{t-q}$$

with  $\phi_p, \theta_q \neq 0, \sigma_w^2 > 0$ .

If  $x_t$  has nonzero mean  $\mu$ , then set  $\alpha = \mu(1 - \phi_1 - \phi_2 - \dots - \phi_p)$  and write the model as

$$x_t = \alpha + \phi_1 x_{t-1} + \dots + \phi_p x_{t-p} + w_t + \theta_1 w_{t-1} + \dots + \theta_q w_{t-q}$$

where  $w_t \sim wn(0, \sigma_w^2)$ .

#### 4.3.1 Parameter redundancy

Consider  $x_t = 0.5x_{t-1} - 0.5w_{t-1} + w_t$ , which looks ARMA(1, 1). However, this is equivalent to

$$(1 - 0.5B)x_t = (1 - 0.5B)w_t \iff x_t = w_t$$

so  $x_t$  is just white noise. This is due to parameter redundancy.

**Definition.** The AR and MA polynomials are respectively defined as

$$\phi(z) = 1 - \phi_1 z - \phi_2 z^2 - \dots - \phi_p z^p, \phi_p \neq 0$$

$$\theta(z) = 1 + \theta_1 z + \theta_2 z^2 + \dots + \theta_q z^q, \theta_q \neq 0$$

where  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

### 4.4 Causality and Invertibility

**Definition (Causal model).** An ARMA( $p, q$ ) model is causal if  $\{x_t : t = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$  can be written as a one-sided linear process:

$$x_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j w_{t-j} = \psi(B)w_t$$

where  $\psi(B) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j B^j, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\psi_j| < \infty$ . We set  $\psi_0 = 1$ .

**Definition** (Invertible model). An ARMA( $p, q$ ) model is invertible if  $\{x_t : t = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$  can be written as

$$\pi(B)x_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \pi_j x_{t-j} = w_t$$

where  $\pi(B) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \pi_j B^j$ ,  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\pi_j| < \infty$ . Set  $\pi_0 = 1$ .

**Proposition.** An ARMA( $p, q$ ) model is causal iff  $\phi(z) \neq 0$  for  $|z| \leq 1$ . The coefficients  $\psi_j$  of the linear process can be determined by solving

$$\psi(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j z^j = \frac{\theta(z)}{\phi(z)}, |z| \leq 1$$

An ARMA( $p, q$ ) process is causal only when the roots of  $\phi(z)$  lie outside the unit circle (i.e.:  $\phi(z) = 0$  only if  $|z| > 1$ ).

**Proposition.** An ARMA( $p, q$ ) model is invertible iff  $\theta(z) \neq 0$  for  $|z| \leq 1$ . The coefficients  $\pi_j$  can be solved through

$$\pi(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \pi_j z^j = \frac{\phi(z)}{\theta(z)}, |z| \leq 1$$

An ARMA( $p, q$ ) process is invertible only when roots of  $\theta(z)$  lie outside unit circle (i.e.:  $\theta(z) = 0$  only if  $|z| > 1$ ).

#### 4.4.1 Partial ACF

For a MA( $q$ ) model, the ACF is 0 for lag values greater than  $h$ . Since  $\theta_q \neq 0$ , then the ACF will not be 0 at lag  $q$ , thus we can use this to identify MA( $q$ ) models. For AR models, however, use the partial ACF (PACF) to identify.

**Definition.** Suppose  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  are random variables. By regression  $X$  on  $Z$  to obtain  $\hat{X}$  and  $Y$  on  $Z$  to obtain  $\hat{Y}$ , the PACF of  $X$  and  $Y$  given  $Z$  is

$$\rho_{X,Y|Z} = \text{Corr}(X - \hat{X}, Y - \hat{Y})$$

Let  $x_t$  be stationary with mean 0. For  $h \geq 2$ , let  $\hat{x}_{t+h}$  denote the regression of  $x_{t+h}$  on  $\{x_{t+h-1}, x_{t+h-2}, \dots, x_{t+1}\}$ :

$$\hat{x}_{t+h} = \beta_1 x_{t+h-1} + \beta_2 x_{t+h-2} + \dots + \beta_{h-1} x_{t+1}$$

Let  $\hat{x}_t$  denote the regression of  $x_t$  on  $\{x_{t+1}, \dots, x_{t+h-1}\}$ :

$$\hat{x}_t = \beta_1 x_{t+1} + \dots + \beta_{h-1} x_{t+h-1}$$

Since  $x_t$  is stationary, then all the  $\beta_k$  are the same in both regressions (i.e.:  $\beta_k = \beta'_k$ ). So, the PACF of  $x_t$ , denoted  $\phi_{hh}$ , is

$$\phi_{hh} = \text{Corr}(x_{t+h} - \hat{x}_{t+h}, x_t - \hat{x}_t)$$



with  $\phi_{11} = \text{Corr}(x_{t+1}, x_t) = \rho(1)$ .

The PACF represents the correlation between  $x_{t+h}$  and  $x_t$  with linear dependence removed.

## 4.5 Forecasting

Goal: To predict future values of a time series  $x_{n+m}$  for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$  based on collected data  $x_{1:n} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ .

- For our purposes, assume a stationary  $x_t$

**Definition.** The minimum MSE predictor of  $x_{n+m}$  is

$$x_{n+m}^n = E(x_{n+m} \mid x_{1:n})$$

since conditional expectation minimizes  $E[x_{n+m} - g(x_{1:n})]^2$  over all functions  $g$  of  $x_{1:n}$ .

- For our purposes, we only consider linear predictors:

$$x_{n+m}^n = \alpha_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k x_k$$

This is called the best linear predictor (BLP).

Given data  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , the BLP coefficients in

$$x_{n+m}^n = \alpha_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k x_k, m \geq 1$$

are found by solving

$$E[(x_{n+m} - x_{n+m}^n)x_k] = 0$$

for all  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . We set  $x_0 = 1$ .

### 4.5.1 Prediction Error

**Definition.** The mean squared  $m$ -step-ahead prediction error is

$$P_{m+n}^n = E[x_{n+m} - x_{n+m}^n]^2$$

We use prediction intervals to assess precision of forecasts. These intervals are given by

$$x_{n+m}^n \pm C_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{P_{n+m}^n}$$

## 4.6 Estimation

Assume observations  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are from a causal and invertible Gaussian ARMA( $p, q$ ) model. The goal is to estimate the parameters of the model and  $\sigma_w^2$ .

Consider an AR( $p$ )  $x_t = \phi_1 x_{t-1} + \dots + \phi_p x_{t-p} + w_t$ . The Yule-Walker equations are

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(h) &= \phi_1 \gamma(h-1) + \phi_2 \gamma(h-2) + \dots + \phi_p \gamma(h-p) \\ \sigma_w^2 &= \gamma(0) - \phi_1 \gamma(1) - \dots - \phi_p \gamma(p)\end{aligned}$$

In matrix notation,

$$\Gamma_p \phi = \gamma_p \quad \sigma_w^2 = \phi(0) - \phi' \gamma_p$$

where  $\Gamma_p = (\gamma(k-j))_{j,k=1}^p$  is a  $p \times p$  matrix and  $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_p)^T$  and  $\gamma_p = (\gamma(1), \dots, \gamma(p))^T$ . We estimate using Method of Moments:

$$\hat{\phi} = \hat{\Gamma}_p^{-1} \hat{\gamma}(h) \quad \hat{\sigma}_w^2 = \hat{\gamma}(0) - \hat{\gamma}_p^T \hat{\Gamma}_p^{-1} \hat{\gamma}_p$$

We can also use the sample ACF:

$$\hat{\phi} = \hat{R}_p^{-1} \hat{\rho}_p \quad \hat{\sigma}_w^2 = \hat{\gamma}(0)[1 - \hat{\rho}_p^T \hat{R}_p^{-1} \hat{\rho}_p]$$

The asymptotic behaviour of Yule-Walker estimators for a causal AR( $p$ ) is

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{n}(\hat{\phi} - \phi) &\xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_w^2 \Gamma_p^{-1}) \\ \hat{\sigma}_w^2 &\xrightarrow{p} \sigma_w^2\end{aligned}$$

So, the PACF satisfies

$$\sqrt{n} \hat{\phi}_{hh} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

for  $h > p$ .

## 4.7 ARIMA Models

**Definition.** A process  $x_t$  is ARIMA( $p, d, q$ ) if

$$\nabla^d x_t = (1 - B)^d x_t$$

is ARMA( $p, q$ ). In general,

$$\phi(B)(1 - B)^d x_t = \theta(B)w_t$$

If  $E(\nabla^d x_t) = \mu$ , then

$$\phi(B)(1 - B)^d x_t = \delta + \theta(B)w_t$$

where  $\delta = \mu(1 - \phi_1 - \dots - \phi_p)$ .

### 4.7.1 Fitting ARIMA Models

Steps:

1. Plot the data

2. Transform the data if needed
3. Identifying dependence orders of model from ACF/PACF
4. Parameter estimation
5. Diagnostics
  - Standardized residuals computed by

$$e_t = \frac{x_t - \hat{x}_t^{t-1}}{\sqrt{\hat{P}_t^{t-1}}}$$

where  $\hat{x}_t^{t-1}$  is the one-step-ahead prediction of  $x_t$  based on the fitted model and  $\hat{P}_t^{t-1}$  is the prediction error

6. Model choice

For regression with autocorrelated errors, the model looks like

$$y_t = \sum_{j=1}^r \beta_j z_{tj} + x_t$$

where  $x_t$  is a process with covariance function  $\gamma_x(s, t)$ . To identify the model, these are the steps:

1. Run regular regression of  $y_t$  on  $z_{t1}, \dots, z_{tr}$  and retain the residuals
2. Identify an ARMA model for the residuals  $\hat{x}_t$  following the steps above
3. Run weighted least squares on regression model with autocorrelated errors using model in step 2
4. Inspect residuals  $\hat{w}_t$

#### 4.7.2 Multiplicative Seasonal ARMA Models

**Definition.** The pure seasonal ARMA, denoted  $\text{ARMA}(P, Q)_s$  is

$$\Phi_P(B^s)x_t = \Theta_Q(B^s)w_t$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_P(B^s) &= 1 - \Phi_1 B^s - \Phi_2 B^{2s} - \dots - \Phi_P B^{Ps} \\ \Theta_Q(B^s) &= 1 + \Theta_1 B^s + \Theta_2 B^{2s} + \dots + \Theta_Q B^{Qs}\end{aligned}$$

are respectively the seasonal autoregressive and moving average operators of orders  $P$  and  $Q$  with period  $s$ .

Similarly to an  $\text{ARMA}(p, q)$  model, this model is causal/invertible only if the roots of  $\Phi_P(z)/\Theta_Q(z)$  lie outside the unit circle. We estimate the orders  $P$  and  $Q$  with the chart

	AR( $P$ ) <sub>s</sub>	MA( $Q$ ) <sub>s</sub>	ARMA( $P, Q$ ) <sub>s</sub>
ACF*	Tails off at lags $ks$ , $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,	Cuts off after lag $Qs$	Tails off at lags $ks$
PACF**	Cuts off after lag $Ps$	Tails off at lags $ks$ $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,	Tails off lags $ks$

This chart can also be used to identify the order of regular ARMA models, albeit without the  $s$ . In general, seasonal and nonseasonal operators are combined into a model  $\text{ARMA}(p, q) \times (P, Q)_s$ , given by

$$\Phi_P(B^s)\phi(B)x_t = \Theta_Q(B^s)\theta(B)w_t$$

**Definition.** A SARIMA model  $\text{ARIMA}(p, d, q) \times (P, D, Q)_s$  is given by

$$\Phi_P(B^s)\phi(B)\nabla_s^D\nabla_d x_t = \delta + \Theta_Q(B^s)\theta(B)w_t$$

where  $\nabla_s^D = (1 - B^s)^D$ .

## 5 Spectral Analysis and Filtering

Consider a series

$$x_t = \sum_{k=1}^q [U_{k1} \cos(2\pi\omega_k t) + U_{k2} \sin(2\pi\omega_k t)]$$

where  $U_{k1}$  and  $U_{k2}$  are uncorrelated with mean 0, variance  $\sigma_k^2$ . The ACF of this series is

$$\gamma_x(h) = \sum_{k=1}^q \sigma_k^2 \cos(2\pi\omega_k h)$$

### 5.1 Estimation

For any time series sample  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , if  $n$  is odd, then we can write

$$x_t = a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} [a_j \cos(2\pi t j/n) + b_j \sin(2\pi t j/n)]$$

for  $t = 1, \dots, n$ . If  $n$  is even, then

$$x_t = a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} [a_j \cos(2\pi t j/n) + b_j \sin(2\pi t j/n)]$$

For the coefficients, choose  $a_0 = \bar{x}$  and

$$a_j = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t \cos(2\pi t j/n)$$

$$b_j = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t \sin(2\pi t j/n)$$

**Definition.** The scaled periodogram is  $P(j | n) = a_j^2 + b_j^2$ .

This function indicates which frequency components are large in magnitude and which are small. It serves as an estimate of  $\sigma_j^2$  corresponding to  $\omega_j = \frac{j}{n}$ .

**Definition.** The discrete Fourier transform is

$$d(j | n) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{t=1}^n x_t \cos(2\pi t j/n) - i \sum_{t=1}^n x_t \sin(2\pi t j/n) \right)$$

Rewriting in Euler form,

$$d(j | n) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t e^{-i2\pi t j/n}$$

The periodogram is

$$|d(j | n)|^2 = \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{t=1}^n x_t \cos(2\pi t j/n) \right)^2 + \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{t=1}^n x_t \sin(2\pi t j/n) \right)^2$$

Notice that the scaled periodogram is  $P(j | n) = \frac{4}{n} |d(j | n)|^2$ .

## 5.2 The Spectral Density

If  $\{x_t\}_t$  is a stationary time series with autocovariance  $\gamma(h)$ , then there exists a unique monotonically increasing function  $F(\omega)$  with  $F(-\infty) = F(-\frac{1}{2}) = 0$ ,  $F(\infty) = F(\frac{1}{2}) = \gamma(0)$  such that

$$\gamma(h) = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{2\pi i \omega h} dF(\omega)$$

If  $\sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} |\gamma(h)| < \infty$ , then it has the representation

$$\gamma(h) = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} f(\omega) e^{2\pi i \omega h} d\omega$$

as the *inverse Fourier transform* of the spectral density

$$f(\omega) = \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma(h) e^{-2\pi i \omega h}, -\frac{1}{2} \leq \omega \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

The spectral density **behaves like any density function:**

- $f(\omega) \geq 0$
- $f(\omega) = f(-\omega)$

For  $h = 0$ , we have that

$$\gamma(0) = \text{Var}(x_t) = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} f(\omega) d\omega$$

Some properties of the spectral density:

- If  $f(\omega), g(\omega)$  are spectral densities such that

$$\gamma_f(h) = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} f(\omega) e^{-2\pi i \omega h} d\omega = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} g(\omega) e^{2\pi i \omega h} d\omega = \gamma_g(h)$$

then the two spectral densities are equal (i.e. the spectral density is **unique**)

- If  $y_t = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_j x_{t-j}$  where  $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_j| < \infty$ , let  $A(\omega) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_j e^{-2\pi i \omega j}$ . Then  $f_y(\omega) = |A(\omega)|^2 f_x(\omega)$
- If  $x_t$  is ARMA( $p, q$ ) with autoregressive operator  $\phi(z)$  and moving average operator  $\theta(z)$ , then

$$f_x(\omega) = \sigma_w^2 \frac{|\theta(e^{-2\pi i \omega})|^2}{|\phi(e^{-2\pi i \omega})|^2}$$

We can relate this to the periodogram. Let  $\omega_j = \frac{j}{n}$ . Then the DFT is

$$d(\omega_j) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t e^{-2\pi i \omega_j t}$$

Solve for  $x_t$  using the inverse DFT

$$x_t = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} d(\omega_j) e^{2\pi i \omega_j t}$$

The periodogram is  $I(\omega_j) = |d(\omega_j)|^2$  and is the sample version of  $f(\omega_j)$ . Thus the periodogram can be viewed as the sample spectral density.

If  $x_t = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j w_{t-j}$  with  $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi_j| < \infty$  where  $w_t \sim wn(0, \sigma_w^2)$ , then for any collection of  $m$  distinct frequencies  $\omega_j \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  with  $\omega_{j:n} \rightarrow \omega_j$ , then

$$\frac{2I(\omega_{j:n})}{f(\omega_j)} \xrightarrow{d} \chi_2^2$$

provided  $f(\omega_j)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . Thus, a  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence interval for  $f(\omega)$  is

$$\frac{2I(\omega_{j:n})}{\chi_2^2(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})} \leq f(\omega) \leq \frac{2I(\omega_{j:n})}{\chi_2^2(\frac{\alpha}{2})}$$

### 5.3 Non-parametric estimation

For  $\omega^* = \omega_j + \frac{k}{n}$ , let

$$\mathbb{B} = \left\{ \omega^* : \omega_j - \frac{m}{n} \leq \omega^* \leq \omega_j + \frac{m}{n} \right\}$$

where  $L = 2m + 1$  is odd, chosen such that

$$f\left(\omega_j + \frac{k}{n}\right) \approx f(\omega)$$

for  $-m \leq k \leq m$ .

**Definition.** The smoothed periodogram is defined as

$$\bar{f}(\omega) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k=-m}^m I\left(\omega_j + \frac{k}{n}\right)$$

This function satisfies

$$\frac{2L\bar{f}(\omega)}{f(\omega)} \sim \chi_{2L}^2$$

so a  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence interval of  $f(\omega)$  is

$$\frac{2L\bar{f}(\omega)}{\chi_{2L}^2(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})} \leq f(\omega) \leq \frac{2L\bar{f}(\omega)}{\chi_{2L}^2(\frac{\alpha}{2})}$$

**Definition.** A parametric spectral estimator is obtained by fitting an  $\text{AR}(p)$  where the order  $p$  is determined by AIC. If  $\hat{\phi}_1, \dots, \hat{\phi}_p$  and  $\sigma_w^2$  are the fitted  $\text{AR}(p)$  to  $x_t$ , then

$$\hat{f}_x(\omega) = \frac{\sigma_w^2}{|\hat{\phi}(e^{-2\pi i\omega})|^2}$$

where  $\hat{\phi}(z) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^p \hat{\phi}_j z^j$ .

A  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence interval of  $f_x(\omega)$  is

$$\frac{\hat{f}_x(\omega)}{1 + Cz_{\alpha/2}} \leq f_x(\omega) \leq \frac{\hat{f}_x(\omega)}{1 - Cz_{\alpha/2}}$$

where  $C = \sqrt{\frac{2p}{n}}$  and  $z_{\alpha/2}$  is the  $\alpha/2$  quantile of  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .

## 5.4 Multiple series and cross-spectra

The cross-covariance of jointly stationary  $x_t$  and  $y_t$  has the representation

$$\gamma_{xy}(h) = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} f_{xy}(\omega) e^{2\pi i\omega h} d\omega$$

for  $h = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$  where  $f_{xy}(\omega)$  is the cross-spectrum defined as

$$f_{xy}(\omega) = \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_{xy}(h) e^{-2\pi i\omega h}$$

We can rewrite  $f_{xy}(\omega)$  in polar form:

$$f_{xy}(\omega) = \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_{xy}(h) \cos(2\pi\omega h) - i \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_{xy}(h) \sin(2\pi\omega h)$$

Since  $\gamma_{xy}(h) = \gamma_{yx}(-h)$ , then  $f_{xy}(\omega) = f_{yx}^*(\omega)$  where  $*$  denotes the conjugate of a complex number.

**Definition.** The squared coherence function is

$$\rho_{yx}^2 = \frac{|f_{yx}(\omega)|^2}{f_{xx}^2(\omega)f_{yy}^2(\omega)}$$